# Testing and Evaluation of the GSI-Hybrid 3DVAR for basin scale HWRF

Chunhua Zhou<sup>1,3</sup>, Hui Shao<sup>1,3</sup>, Ligia Bernardet<sup>2,3</sup>, Mrinal Biswas<sup>1,3</sup> and Brian Etherton<sup>2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR)
<sup>2</sup> NOAA/ESRL/GSD
<sup>3</sup> Developmental Testbed Center (DTC)



93<sup>rd</sup> AMS annual meeting

Austin, TX Jan. 6-10, 2013





## Model configuration

- HWRF: basin scale branch from EMC, 61 vertical levels, model top at 2mb, horizontal grid spacing=27km, on basin scale domain
- **GSI:** basin scale branch from EMC
- Background: GFS



#### Cross-covariance investigation

- 3DVAR:  $\beta_1 = 1.0$ ,  $\beta_2 = 0.0$
- HYB:  $\beta_1 = 0.25, \beta_2 = 0.75$

• ENS: 
$$\beta_1 = 0.0, \beta_2 = 1.0$$

- 80 global ensembles
- The single observation is at or around storm center of Isaac (Hurricane) or Kirk (tropical storm)
- Background: 2012082900



#### • Obs: q=1g/kg at 700mb at 28.9N, 270.5E (Isaac center)



#### Ensemble spread of q (top) and T (bottom) around Isaac (left) and Kirk (right) at 2012082900



**Developmental Testbed Center** 

DTC

• Obs: q=1g/kg at 700mb - NW of the storm center Isaac (left) and Kirk (right)



• Obs: T=1K at 700mb - NW of the storm center Isaac (left) and Kirk (right)



#### Ensemble spread of u (top) and v (bottom) around Isaac (left) and Kirk (right) at 2012082900



DTC

• Obs: T=1K at 700mb - NW of the storm center Isaac (left) and Kirk (right)

#### Wind increment at 700mb



Developmental Testbed Center-









### Configuration test: GSI Partial Cycling

CONV: conventional data, 1-time DA, no cycling
 CONV cycling: conventional data, 1-d DA cycling (6-hrly)

✓ GPS+CONV: conventional data + GPS RO, 1-time DA, no cycling
 ✓ GPS+CONV cycling: conventional data + GPS RO, 1-d DA cycling

✓ NODA: cold start at analysis time, no regional DA









## Summary and Future work:

- ✓ Single observation tests are done for investigating the cross co-variances around tropical cyclone and show reasonable flow dependent responses compared to isotropic response from the 3DVAR using static BE.
  - ----- More tests and in-depth diagnostics: cloud variables?
  - ----- Best combination of the static BE and the ensembles?
- ✓ Case studies show benefit in track and intensity forecast by cycling GSI data assimilation
  - ----- More cases and extended runs ongoing
  - ----- Partial cycling with HWRF ensembles

