SREF and the Impact of Resolution and Physics Changes

As operational centers move inexorably toward ensemble-based probabilistic forecasting, the role of the DTC as a bridge between research and operations has expanded to include testing and evaluation of ensemble forecasting systems. In 2010 the ensemble task area in the DTC was designed with the ultimate goal of providing an environment in which extensive testing and evaluation of ensemble-related techniques developed by the NWP community could be conducted. Because these results should be immediately relevant to the operational centers (e.g., NCEP/EMC and AFWA), the planning and execution of these DTC evaluation activities has been closely coordinated with the operational centers. All of the specific components of the ensemble system have been subject to evaluation, including ensemble design, post-processing, products, and verification. More information about the DTC Ensemble Task organization and goals can be found at: http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/BAMS-D-11-00209.1

Recently, efforts of the DTC Ensemble team have included evaluation of the impact that changes in the National Centers for Environmental Prediction/Environmental Modeling Center (NCEP/EMC) configuration have had on its performance. The focus has been on two areas: the impact of increased horizontal resolution and the impact due to changes in the model microphysical schemes. In an initial experiment, SREF performance using 16 km horizontal grid spacing (the current operational setting) was compared with the performance of SREF with potential future horizontal grid spacing of 9 km. In the second experiment the focus was on changes in microphysical parameterizations.

(Continued on page 2)
The Tropical Cyclone Modeling Team (TCMT) was formed as part of RAL’s Joint Numerical Testbed (JNT) in 2009 to help assess hurricane and tropical storm forecasts from experimental models. As such, its members interact with the DTC in two particular ways: by designing methods and products appropriate for tropical cyclone verification that can be installed in maintained software at the DTC, and by providing both real-time and retrospective performance measures for each year’s hurricane forecasts. At a working level, members of the TCMT are often contributing DTC members as well.

The intent of the yearly retrospective evaluations are to provide guidance to the National Hurricane Center as they choose particular experimental forecast models to use for operational guidance during the upcoming hurricane season. In recent years these retrospective studies have focused on hurricane track and intensity forecasts from suites of comparison models forwarded by universities, research laboratories, and national centers. This evaluation is intended to help achieve the goals of NOAA’s Hurricane Forecast Improvement Project (HFIP), a program in which the DTC hurricane task is also involved.

The accompanying figure, to the right, illustrates some results from the 2013 Retrospective Exercise (covering hurricane seasons 2010-2012). In the figure, the rank of a single experimental model hurricane intensity forecast is shown relative to that of the three top performing models. As a general rule, while hurricane tracking has dramatically improved in recent years, better intensity forecasts remain elusive.

Contributed Kathryn Newman

(Continued from page 1) In the current operational version of SREF only one microphysical scheme (Ferrier) is used. That version has now been compared with results from an experimental ensemble configuration that includes two other microphysics options (called WSM6 and Thompson). Although these preliminary tests have used only SREF members from one WRF core (WRF-ARW), future tests will add NMMB members into the analysis. The sets of comparison ensemble systems each consisted of seven members: a control, and two pairs of three members with varying initial perturbations. This preliminary study was performed over the transition month of May 2013, and over the continental US domain. By good fortune, the time period captured one of the most active severe weather months in recent history, promising an interesting dataset for future in-depth studies.

Verification for the set of runs was performed using the DTC’s Model Evaluation Tools (MET) for both single-value and probabilistic measures aggregated over the entire month of study. Some of the relevant results are illustrated in the accompanying figures, each of which displays arithmetic means from the corresponding ensemble system. The first figure shows box plots of bias corrected root mean square error (BCRMSE) with analysis and two lead times for 850 mb temperature for the operational 16 km SREF (yellow), a parallel configuration with a different combination of microphysics (red), and the experimental 9 km setting (purple). For this preliminary run, it appears that finer resolution improves SREF forecast performance more than changes in microphysics. Indeed, the pairwise differences between the 16 km and 9 km SREF forecasts in the second figure represent a comparison for the 24 hr lead time that is statistically significant, albeit for a limited data sample. Additional detailed analyses of an expanded set of these data are under way.

Contributed by Isidora Jankov
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In many ways, Hui is the quintessential DTC lead. Stationed at NCEP’s Environmental Modeling Center but spending several weeks a year in Boulder, she lives R2O (data assimilation variety) day in and day out. Besides the frequent commutes, she is a long-distance veteran in another way, with undergraduate and masters’ level education in China, a PhD at Florida State, and now her dual appointment of sorts in DC and Boulder. There was some chance at Nanjing University that she would follow a different career: space science was her first choice but the availability of meteorology courses led her in that direction. She credits two events during her studies as important points in her career. A DA seminar in Nanjing impressed her with the ‘forward/backward’ mathematical beauty of adjoint formulations, and during her first year in Tallahassee regular meetings with a professor helped to bring out the ‘bigger picture’ of her dynamic meteorology courses.

At EMC and the DTC, Hui is most proud of helping to form a stronger and closer partnership between these two centers and creating a new pathway between operations and research communities. The collaboration between two centers has now been expanded beyond just data assimilation. Her vision of DA needs and requirements include a strong sense that better ways to handle extremes are needed, and she is a firm believer that effective DA can’t be just about data but must have a physical grounding as well. If anyone is well-placed to bring that vision into the R2O arena, it would be Hui.

BRIDGES TO OPERATIONS

Support for Operational DA at AFWA

Unlike some other forecast model components, a data assimilation (DA) system is usually built to be flexible in order to be run by different forecast systems at varying scales.

Its testing and evaluation must therefore be performed in the context of a specific application; in other words, it must be adaptable to different operational requirements as well as to research advances. Established in 2009, the DTC DA team started providing data assimilation support and testing and evaluation for Air Force Weather Agency (AFWA) mesoscale applications throughout its global theaters. This task has become one important component of the DTC’s effort to accelerate transitions from research to operations (R2O). Between 2009 and 2011, the focus of extensive DA testing for AFWA at the DTC was to provide a rational basis for the choice of the next generation DA system. Various analysis techniques and systems were selected by AFWA for testing, including WRF Data Assimilation (WRFDA), Gridpoint Statistical Interpolation (GSI), and the NCAR Ensemble Adjustment Kalman Filter. During this testing, the impacts of different data types, background error generation, and observation formats were also investigated.

Testing activity by the DTC DA team took a sharp turn in August 2012. To assist AFWA in setting up an appropriate configuration for their 2013 implementation of GSI, the DTC adapted their DA testbed to complement AFWA’s pre-implementation parallel tests in real-time. In support of providing new code and configurations, the team now performs two types of tests for AFWA:

- One-week tests conducted for each individual code development/configuration change
- Monthly or longer period tests conducted to evaluate overall performance of the new code (with individual or multiple changes) versus a baseline

"The developmental experiment outperformed the baseline"

The baseline experiment is usually generated by running the current operational or parallel system at AFWA. Whenever an AFWA baseline is updated, the DTC checks its reproducibility (or similarity) using the DTC functionally-similar testing environment to ensure that any following tests are comparable, and that there is no code divergence between research and operations. One such test conducted during the summer of 2013 (see figure next page) revealed that wind analysis fits to observations in AFWA forecasts were not reproduced by the DTC due to an inadvertent AFWA code change reading their own conventional data files. Other data assimilation components and applications (new configurations, techniques, observations, etc.) can also be tested in the DTC end-to-end DA testbed, see figure to the left.

(Continued on next page.)
During DTC real-time tests of the AFWA 2013 implementation, the AFWA GO index (a multivariate combined statistical score) dropped when the (then) AFWA parallel run configuration was used. When the GO index exceeded 1 (i.e., before November), the developmental experiment (which used the DTC-suggested configuration) outperformed the baseline (here, GFS-initialized). For wind variables in particular, the DTC configuration significantly improved the wind analyses. Further retrospective tests narrowed down the contributing factors, and the DTC suggested that the North American Mesoscale (NAM) static background errors generated by NCEP be used. AFWA adopted this configuration for its first GSI implementation in its global coverage domains in July 2013. Contributed by Hui Shao

DTC AND THE COMMUNITY

DTC Science Advisory Board Meeting

Given its mission to facilitate the research to operations transition in numerical weather prediction, the DTC has a mandate to stay connected with both the research and operational NWP communities.

As a means to that end, the DTC Science Advisory Board (SAB) was established to provide (i) advice on strategic directions, (ii) recommendations for new code or new NWP innovations for testing and evaluation, and (iii) reviews of DTC Visitor Program proposals and recommendations for selection.

The third meeting of the SAB (the first with the new members announced in an earlier DTC Newsletter) was held recently (25-27 September 2013) in Boulder. To stimulate communication between the research and operational NWP communities, the DTC invited Geoff DiMego and Vijay Tallapragada to present future plans for mesoscale and hurricane modeling at the National Centers for Environmental Prediction’s (NCEP) Environmental Modeling Center (EMC), and Mike Horner to give an Air Force Weather Agency (AFWA) perspective on their recent (25-27 September 2013) visit to the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). The SAB voiced their belief that operational centers will have significantly more computing resources in the near future, putting nationwide high-resolution mesoscale ensemble forecasting within reach. Given that possibility, they recommended that DTC should help facilitate transition to cloud-permitting scale ensemble forecasting with multiple physics. The current members of the DTC Science Advisory Board can be found at http://www.dtcenter.org under governance.

Contributed by Mark Stoelinga (Chair of DTC SAB) and Bill Kuo (DTC Director)

After slow going in the early years, over the last 4+ years the DTC has been that research to operations (R2O) enabler we expected, but not in the conventional thinking sense many have of the DTC.
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In the next issue

- Description of several new verification display utilities available at the DTC
- Questions from our readers
- More DTC news

Q & A

Get Involved! Help us identify and communicate information that we may not have thought of — ask a question about the DTC and its activities, a few of which we will provide answers to in this section.

1 Meet us at an event
Winter 2014 events
AMS Annual Meeting (Atlanta, GA) Feb 2-6

2 Ask a question
For this issue, we address questions about the DTC visitor program
Q: Where have visitors to the DTC mainly come from? Universities? International?
A: Of the 44 funded visitor projects since 2004, 35 were from universities and 9 were from national meteorological centers. Seven of these projects originated internationally. A description of these projects is available at http://www.dtcenter.org/visitors/.

Answer contributed by Louisa Nance

3 Become part of the visitor program
The DTC Visitor Program supports visitors to work with the DTC to test new forecasting and verification techniques, models and model components for numerical weather prediction (NWP). The DTC recently posted its next Announcement of Opportunity for the DTC visitor program at www.dtcenter.org/visitors.

4 Visit the website
See www.dtcenter.org for news on the DTC. There you will find DTC-related AMS presentations, DTC Visitor Program, the DTC newsletter archive, DTC directory listing and more.

5 Submit an article or question for the Newsletter
Please contact dtc-editor@noaa.gov to send questions and ideas for articles. We also welcome comments/reactions/questions about information in this newsletter at the same email address.

NEWS FROM THE DTC

Announcements and Publications

Nomination: The Research Applications Laboratory at NCAR recently nominated the GSI Community Code Support Team, led by Dr. Hui Shao, to receive NCAR’s Annual Outstanding Performance Award for Scientific and Technical Advancement. The NCAR winner will be announced on December 6.

Workshop Announcements
- MET Tutorial 23-24 Jan 2014. Model Evaluation Tools (MET) is a package of state-of-the-art forecast evaluation/verification tools. This tutorial will be offered during WRF Tutorial.
- Basic WRF Tutorial 27-31 Jan 2014

Presentations
AMS 2014, several papers and posters will be presented at the Annual Meeting in Atlanta, Feb 2-6, 2014.

Sponsors

DTC primary sponsors are the National Oceanic & Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), the Air Force Weather Agency (AFWA), the National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR), and the National Science Foundation.

The DTC is a distributed facility where the NWP community can test and evaluate new models and techniques for use in research and operations.