# HWRF physics packages

**2016 Hurricane WRF Tutorial** Jan 2016 College Park, MD

Presented by Weiguo Wang EMC/NCEP/NOAA http://www.dtcenter.org/HurrWRF/users/docs/users\_guide/HWRF\_v3.7a\_UG.pdf

## Outline

- Overview of HWRF parameterizations
- Land surface model
- Surface layer physics
- Planetary Boundary Layer (PBL)
- Convection
- Microphysics
- Radiation
- Plan for 2016 operational HWRF upgrades

### Overview

1. At the initial operational implementation in 2007, HWRF physics suite was closely following as GFDL hurricane model physics.

 Roots of HWRF physics packages (3.7a) NCEP GFS (PBL, convection, RRTMG) NCEP mesoscale, ETA/NMMB (microphysics) GFDL (surface physics) WRF (LSM, cloud fraction)

...

Some modified for the tropical environment.



**Diabatic heating**: phase change of water Radiative processes Subgrid vertical mixing Surface fluxes

- convection, microphysics
- radiation
- PBL, convection
- air-sea interaction, land surface



### Phys in 2015 operational HWRF

Scheme	Description
Land model	Community Noah land surface model (LSM)
Surface layer	M-O similarity, GFDL based code, cd, ch match obs.
PBL	GFS PBL + modification of K and Ric #
Convection	Simplified Arakawa-Schubert scheme with modifications #
Microphysics	Ferrier-Aligo scheme for high resolution model#
Radiation	RRTMG, partial cloudiness

#: planned upgrade for 2016

## Outline

• Overview HWRF parameterizations

### • Land surface model

- Surface layer physics
- Planetary Boundary Layer (PBL)
- Convection
- Microphysics
- Radiation
- Plan for 2016 upgrades

## Land surface model (LSM)

 Why? To provide heat and moisture fluxes over land points and sea-ice points. These serve as a lower boundary condition for the vertical transport done in the PBL schemes

• How? By using atmospheric information from the surface-layer scheme, radiative forcing from the radiation scheme, and precipitation forcing from the microphysics and convective schemes, in combination with layers of the soil and handle vegetation, root, and canopy effects and surface snow-cover prediction.

## Noah LSM



http://www.ral.ucar.edu/research/land/technology/lsm.php

- NCEP/NCAR, Universities
- 1 canopy layer, 4-layer soil
- Prognostic variables:
  - Moisture and temperature in the soil layers
  - Water stored on the canopy
  - Snow stored on the ground.
- It Includes root zone, evapotranspiration, soil drainage, and runoff, taking into account vegetation categories, monthly vegetation fraction, and soil texture.
- Many potential downstream applications with HWRF
- Used by HWRF since 2015

#### SANDY 18L 2012 HWRF + slab land model HWRF + NOAH LSM

H133 forecast: SANDY18L (dl182012)

H130 forecast: SANDY18L (al182012)



Slab model: cold bias of TSK; NOAH LSM improved TSK & track simulations 10

### LSM in Namelist

### &physics

. . . .

num\_soil\_layers = 4, sf\_surface\_physics = 2, 2, 2,

. . . .

## Outline

- Overview HWRF parameterizations
- Land surface model

#### • Surface layer physics

- Planetary Boundary Layer (PBL)
- Convection
- Microphysics
- Radiation
- Plan for 2016 upgrades

## **Surface-layer physics**

- Why/what? calculating stability functions, velocity scales (u<sub>\*</sub>, w<sub>\*</sub>...), exchange coefficients, and surface fluxes
- How? Monin-Obkuhov similarity theory

**Note:** provide no tendencies, only the stability-dependent information



accurate hurricane intensity.

$$H_{o} = \rho C_{P} C_{h} |V| (\theta_{S} - \theta_{a})$$
$$L_{o} = \rho L C_{h} |V| (Q_{s} - Q_{a})$$
$$\overrightarrow{\tau_{o}} = \rho C_{d} |V| \overrightarrow{V}$$

The air-sea flux calculations use a bulk parameterization based on the Monin-Obukhov similarity theory

### Surface layer parameterization



HWRF specifies z0 as a function of wind to match obs Cd and Ck





### Surface-layer in Namelist

### &physics

#### . . . .

sf\_sfclay\_physics = 88, 88, 88, GFDL sfc icoef\_sf = 2, 2, 2, Choose different Cd, Ck lcurr\_sf = F, F, F, F, For ocean, future

. . . .

## Outline

- Overview HWRF parameterizations
- Land surface model
- Surface layer physics
- Planetary Boundary Layer (PBL)
- Convection
- Microphysics
- Radiation
- Plan for 2016 upgrades

# Planetary boundary layer (PBL) parameterization

- Why? To represent the mixing effects of eddies too small to be solved by the dynamical core
- How? It determines flux profiles and provides atmospheric tendencies (in the entire atmospheric column) of: temperature, moisture (including clouds) Horizontal momentum

$$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial w' \phi'}{\partial z}$$

$$\overline{w' \phi'} = -K \frac{\partial \overline{\phi}}{\partial z} + K \gamma \quad \text{Counter-gradient term}$$

$$\overline{w' \phi'} = local \quad flux + non \quad local \quad flux$$

Turbulent eddy diffusivity , K

 $K = l^2 f(Ri) \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \right|$ 

Stable conditions, or above PBL top



Jnstable conditions



 $h = Ri_C \frac{\theta_{VS}U^2(h)}{\varphi(\theta_V(h) - \theta_C)}$ 

PBL height depends on Ri<sub>c</sub>, wind,  $\theta_V$ , and  $\theta_{s}$  at ground and PBL top

nd Pan (1996)

 $Ri_c = 0.16(10^{-7}R_o)$  $R_{O} = \left(\frac{U_{10}}{4\pi}\right)$  Critical Richardson number a function of the local Rossby Number

Rossby number depends on 10-m speed and roughness length

#### K adjustment

<u>Issue:</u> Eddy diffusivity from regular GFS PBL scheme was too large.

#### Solutions:

- <u>Upgrade for 2013 operational HWRF:</u> introduce a variable (α) to modulate K (Gapal et al, 2012, 2013)
- <u>Upgrade for 2014 operational HWRF:</u> make critical Richardson number dependent on Rossby number when computing PBL height
- <u>Upgrade for 2015 operational HWRF:</u> set upper boundary for K at ~ 500m to WindSpeed/0.6 (Fovell et al, 2015)



### PBL in Namelist &physics

```
. . . .
```

```
bl_pbl_physics = 3, 3, 3,
var_ric = 1.0,
coef_ric_l = 0.16,
coef_ric_s = 0.25,
gfs_alpha = -1.0, -1.0, -1.0,
```

1: Use variable Ric# for h; 0:no

Coefficient of Ric# : land point

Coefficient of Ric# : sea point

K adjustment.

alpha=abs(gfs\_alpha)

- <0, Use ws/0.6 method
- >0, Use a constant alpha

## Outline

- Overview HWRF parameterizations
- Land surface model
- Surface layer physics
- Planetary Boundary Layer (PBL)

#### Convection

- Microphysics
- Radiation
- Plan for 2016 upgrades

### **Convective parameterization**

(also referred to as cumulus parameterization scheme)

- Why? To represent the convection too small to be resolved explicitly given the grid spacing. Convection has the effect of reducing the thermodynamic instability
- How? Mass flux scheme
  - Vertically transporting:
  - Heat
  - Momentum
  - Moisture

### Simplified AS (SAS)



- Arakawa and Schubert (1974)
   and simplified by Grell (1993)
- Also used in the GFS and GFDL models

#### **Features**

- Cloud size << grid size</p>
- One cloud top
- Deep: thickness>150hpa; shallow: < 150</li>
- Triggered when cloud-work function (~CAPE) > threshold
- T, Q adjusted, mass flux method
- Simple microphysics, evaporation, detrain condensation/vapor
- Momentum mixing

## CU in Namelist

### &physics

cu\_physics = 84, 84, 0, mommix = 1.0, 1.0, 1.0, ncnvc = 2, 6, 6, sas\_pgcon = 0.55, 0.2, 0.2, sas\_mass\_flux = 0.5, 0.5, 0.5,

No convection used in D03

Fraction of momentum mixing

Calling frequency, time steps

Convectively forced pressure gradient.

Mass flux limit

. . . .

## Outline

- Overview HWRF parameterizations
- Land surface model
- Surface layer physics
- Planetary Boundary Layer (PBL)
- Convection

### • Microphysics

- Radiation
- Plan for 2016 upgrades

## Microphysics

• Why? To represent grid-scale microphysical processes such as

- Aggregation, accretion, growth, precipitation, etc
- Phase change and latent heat release due to condensation, evaporation, deposition, sublimation, freezing, melting.

• **How?** Predicting one or more moments of the distribution of various microphyiscs species such as rain, cloud, ice

HWRF uses a single moment scheme <u>Ferrier-Aligo scheme</u> (NMMB,HWRF) , modified from Ferrier/eta scheme

### **Ferrier-Aligo scheme**

- Designed for efficiency
- Predicts mixing ratios; Diagnoses number concentrations
- Advection only of total condensate (CWM) and vapor
- Diagnostic cloud water, rain, & ice (ice/snow/graupel)
- Fractions of cloud water & ice within a column are fixed during advection
- Variable density for precipitation ice
- (snow/graupel/sleet) "rime factor" (F\_rime)
- Maximum number concentration of large ice varies in different cloud regimes. Promote supercooled liquid water.

#### Flow chart





### MP in Namelist &physics .... mp\_physics = 5, 5, 5, nphs = 2, 6, 6,

Call frequency in time steps

. . . .

## Outline

- Overview HWRF parameterizations
- Land surface model
- Surface layer physics
- Planetary Boundary Layer (PBL)
- Convection
- Microphysics
- Radiation
- Plan for 2016 upgrades

### Radiation

• Why? To represent the heating and cooling due to short and long wave radiation



### **Rapid Radiative Transfer Model** (RRTMG)

- Modified from RRTM (lacono et al. 2008)
- Long wave includes absorptions of:
  - water vapor, carbon dioxide, ozone, methane, nitrous, oxide, oxygen, nitrogen, and the halocarbons
- Short wave includes absorptions of:
  - water vapor, carbon dioxide, ozone and methane
- Calculations are made over spectral bands, with:
  - longwave (16 bands)
  - shortwave (14 bands)
- Uses the Monte Carlo Independent Cloud Approximation random Overlap method to overlap clouds
- Uses a cloud fraction scheme developed by Thompson (NCAR) that represents sub-grid scale clouds
- Ice particle size depends on temperature, instead of constant (NMMB tests). 34

## Radiation in Namelist &physics

ra\_lw\_physics = 4, 4, 4, ra\_sw\_physics = 4, 4, 4, icloud = 3, nrads = 30, 90, 270, nradl = 30, 90, 270,

. . . .

Cloud fraction in Radiation

Call frequency of SW module

Call frequency of LW module

#### Full Physics Options in 2015 operational HWRF Can be configured through "hwrf.conf"

&physics

```
num soil layers = 4,
 mp_physics = 5, 5, 5,
 ra lw physics = 4, 4, 4,
 ra sw physics = 4, 4, 4,
 sf sfclay physics = 88, 88, 88,
 sf surface physics = 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3
 bl pbl physics = 3, 3, 3,
 cu physics = 84, 84, 0,
 mommix = 1.0, 1.0, 1.0,
var ric = 1.0,
 coef ric I = 0.16,
 coef ric s = 0.25,
 h diff = 1.0, 1.0, 1.0,
 gwd opt = 2, 0, 0,
 nrads = 30, 90, 270,
nradl = 30, 90, 270,
nphs = 2, 6, 6,
ncnvc = 2, 6, 6,
ntrack = 9, 9, 18,
```

gfs alpha = -1.0, -1.0, -1.0,sas pgcon = 0.55, 0.2, 0.2,sas mass\_flux = 0.5, 0.5, 0.5, co2tf = 1, vortex tracker = 2, 2, 7,nomove freq = 0, 6, 6, tg option = 1, ntornado = 2, 6, 18, ens cdamp = 0.2, ens pblamp = 0.2, ens\_random\_seed = 99, ens sasamp = 50.0, icloud = 3,icoef sf = 2, 2, 2, 2lcurr sf = F, F, F, Fpert cd = F, pert pbl = F, pert sas = F,

Other options

Limited tested:

- Convection schemes: Tiedtke, NSAS, KF, etc, tested by DTC
- Radiation: GFDL
- PBL: MYJ
- LSM: slab
- Surface layer: eta similarity
- Microphysics: Thompson, WSM6, Ferrier

## Plan for 2016 upgrades

- GFS PBL EDMF scheme
- □ Scale-aware /Aerosol-aware SAS scheme
- □ Advection of Ferrier MP species.
- □ Thompson MP being tested.

### **GFS PBL EDMF**

#### Latest version GFS PBL

 $\overline{w'\phi'} = local \ flux + non \ local \ flux$ 





TKE based dissipation heating



### Scale-aware SAS

 For cumulus convection, a scale-aware parameterization will be necessary for the grid sizes of 500m ~ 10 km where the strong updrafts are partially resolved.

$$m'_{b} = (1 - \sigma_{u})^{2} m_{b}$$
  
Based on Arakawa & Wu (2013):  $\overline{w'\psi'} = (1 - \sigma_{u})^{2} \overline{(w'\psi')}_{E}$ 

 $\sigma_u$ : updraft area fraction (0~1.0)

 $m_b$ : original cloud base mass flux from AS quasi-equilibrium closure  $m'_b$ : updated cloud base mass flux with a finite  $\sigma_u$ 

